

Electronically Filed
1/9/2019 10:07 AM
Idaho Supreme Court
Karel Lehrman, Clerk of the Court
By: Melanie Gagnepain, Deputy Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. <u>THE COURT CAN ACCEPT JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER</u>	5
A. <u>The Order Does Not Address The Rule That This Court Will Exercise Original Jurisdiction Where A Petition Alleges Sufficient Facts Concerning Possible Constitutional Violations.</u>	5
B. <u>This Court’s Reasoning In The Order Does Not Apply Here Where Petitioner Seeks An Extraordinary Writ.</u>	7
C. <u>Respondent’s Argument That Petitioner Cannot Constitutionally Challenge Section 56-267 Under Section 34-1809(4) Puts Petitioner In An Inequitable Lose-Lose Situation Contrary To The Legislature’s Intent.</u>	8
II. <u>PETITIONER HAS STANDING TO CHALLENGE IDAHO CODE SECTION 56-267</u>	11
A. <u>The Legislature Has Conferred Standing Under Section 34-1809(4).</u>	11
B. <u>Intervenors’ Arguments Regarding Standing Are Without Merit.</u>	13
III. <u>RESPONDENT MAKES NUMEROUS ADMISSIONS ESTABLISHING THAT IDAHO CODE SECTION 56-267 IN FACT DELEGATES LAWMAKING AUTHORITY TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.</u>	15
IV. <u>RESPONDENT’S RELIANCE ON STATE V. KELLOGG IS MISPLACED.</u>	20
V. <u>IDAHO CODE SECTION 56-267 DOES IN FACT DELEGATE FUTURE LAWMAKING AUTHORITY TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.</u>	26
VI. <u>INTERVENORS’ ARGUMENT THAT IDAHO CODE SECTION 56-267 DOES NOT DELEGATE LAWMAKING AUTHORITY IS SIMILARLY WITHOUT MERIT.</u>	29
VII. <u>RESPONDENT’S CLAIM THAT PETITIONER HAS NOT RAISED EVEN ONE VALID ARGUMENT IS ITSELF FRIVOLOUS, UNREASONABLE AND WITHOUT FOUNDATION.</u>	31
<u>CONCLUSION</u>	33

TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES

CASES:

Pages

138

v.
v.
v.

STATUTES AND RULES:

